PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNAT	TIONAL SEARCI	HING AUTH	ORITY				
To: DAVID L. PROVENCE MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A. P.O.BOX 581415				u.m	PCT		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55454-1415					ITTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
					(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21 SEP 2009		
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
265.00410201							
International application No. Intern		International filing date	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/40120 01 Dec International Patent Classification (IPC) or both n		01 December 2004 (01.1		01 December 2003 (01.12.2003)			
IPC(7): C07H 21/02, 21/04; C12P 19/34, 10/0221/00; C12N 15/09, 15/82, 15/85, 15/00; C12Q 1/70, 1/68, 33/48 and US Cl.: 536/23.1, 23.72; 435440, 441, 442455, 91.1, 91.4, 91.4291.51, 69.1,69.270.1, 455, 5, 6, 94							
Applicant		,,					
BOARD	OF REGENT, TH	E UNIVERSI	TY OF TEXAS SYSTEM				
			ating to the following items	s:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion				
	Box No. II	Priority					
\boxtimes	Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	ty of invention	•			
\boxtimes	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international app	lication			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
				FF			
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For fu	rther details, see n	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Name and r	mailing address of	f the ISA/ US		Authorized officer	. 20		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US				Bao Qun Li	IN SHUCKODD		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450				1 745	July Copp		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450				Telephone No. 57	1-272-1600		

F.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/40120

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a.	type of material				
	a sequence listing				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b.	format of material				
	in written format				
	in computer readable form				
c.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additi	onal comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/40120

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be strially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application						
一	claims Nos						
ш	Ciallis Nos						
because:							
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	•						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no						
	meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form has not been furnished						
	does not comply with the standard						
	the computer readable form has not been furnished						
	does not comply with the standard						
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
See Supplemental Box for further details.							
ٔ لــا	dee duppremental box for further details.						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/40120

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement							
Novelty (N) Claims 37	YES						
Claims 1-36	NO						
Inventive step (IS) Claims 37	YES						
Claims 1-36	NO						
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-37	YES						
Claims NONE	NO						
2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-36 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Wimmer et al. Wimmer et al. disclose an efficient replicon comprising a mutation at 2204 and a method for using the polynucleotide encoding the replicon comprising the sequenceding genes encoding HCV core, E1, E2, P, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A and NS5B, wherein the HCV genome is defected by Bunkled and the HCV genome is defected by Bunkled and III and III and III are polynucleotide sequence if possible, can be used for screening an anti-HCV compound. (entidocuments, especially, Figs. 2-4, columns 6-7, claim 1-52). Claims 1-2, 7, 9-10 and 14-17, lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Bunkled al. Bunkled al. teach the point mutation(s) in HCV coding sequence can permit the HCV RNA replicate more efficiently in the Huh-7 cells, wherein of mutation includes the mutation at the amino acid residue 2204. The mutated HCV polynucleotide can be used for efficiently of HCV genome. Claims 36 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest to make a k comprising the particular mutated HCV polynucleotide for making HCV replicon. Claims 1-37 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus, the particular isolated HCV mutant polynucleotide can be an industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	ence ived from re at particular one of the replication						
·							